

# Peter Fisher Epstein

*Curriculum Vitae*

pfe21@cam.ac.uk  
peterfisherepstein.com

Pembroke College  
University of Cambridge  
CB2 1RF  
United Kingdom

---

## EMPLOYMENT

**Pembroke College, University of Cambridge, 2017-2020**  
Junior Research Fellow (Randall Dillard Research Fellowship)

## VISITING POSITION

**New York University, Department of Philosophy, 2017-2019**  
Visiting Scholar

## AREAS OF SPECIALIZATION

Philosophy of Mind  
Epistemology (including Formal Epistemology)  
Philosophy of Science

## AREAS OF COMPETENCE

Metaphysics  
Philosophy of Physics  
Early Modern Philosophy

## EDUCATION

**University of California, Berkeley, 2008-2017**

Ph.D., Philosophy, August 2017

Dissertation: *Sensible Concepts: Experience and the A Priori* (Committee: John Campbell (co-chair); Barry Stroud (co-chair); Geoffrey Lee; Tania Lombrozo)

**Harvard University, 2000-2004**

A.B., *summa cum laude*, Philosophy (with Certificate in Mind, Brain, and Behavior), June 2004

Thesis: *Comparing Qualia* (Supervisor: Susanna Siegel; Readers: Mike Martin and Richard Heck)

Awarded George Plimpton Adams Prize for best dissertation or undergraduate thesis in philosophy

## PUBLICATIONS

“*A Priori* Concepts in Euclidean Proof,” *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* (forthcoming)

“Shape Perception in a Relativistic Universe,” *Mind* (2018)

“The Fine-Tuning Argument and the Requirement of Total Evidence,” *Philosophy of Science* (2017)

## GRANTS AND FELLOWSHIPS

“Grounding Sensible Qualities” Project (Co-Principal Investigator), New Directions in the Study of the Mind, University of Cambridge (led by Tim Crane), 2016-2017

*Competitive £10,000 research grant, awarded for year-long project on metaphysics of color and shape*

Mabelle McLeod Lewis Fellowship, Mabelle McLeod Lewis Memorial Fund, 2015-2016

*One of four research fellowships awarded annually to humanities Ph.D. candidates in Northern California*

Mary Gordon Roberts Fellowship, Harvard University, 2003

*Research grant awarded by Mind, Brain, and Behavior Interfaculty Initiative (faculty sponsor: Ned Block)*

## HONORS AND AWARDS

- Faculty Essay Prize, Canadian Philosophical Association Annual Congress, 2018  
*For best essay amongst those submitted by non-tenured faculty ("A Priori Concepts in Euclidean Proof")*
- Fink Prize, UC Berkeley Philosophy Department, 2015-2016  
*For best essay by a graduate student ("Shape Perception in a Relativistic Universe")*
- Outstanding Graduate Student Instructor Award, UC Berkeley, 2013-2014  
*Campus-wide honor for teaching excellence*
- George Plimpton Adams Prize, Harvard University, 2004  
*For best dissertation or undergraduate thesis in philosophy (Comparing Qualia)*
- Certificate in Mind, Brain, and Behavior, Harvard University, 2004  
*First philosophy concentrator to receive interdisciplinary research certificate*

## TALKS AND PRESENTATIONS (\* = refereed; \*\* = invited)

- "A Priori Concepts in Spatial Experience"  
*\*\*Philosophy Department Colloquium, York University (Toronto), February 2018*
- "A Priori Concepts in Euclidean Proof"  
*\*Canadian Philosophical Association Annual Congress, UQAM, June 2018 (Faculty Essay Prize)*  
*\*\*Philosophy Department Colloquium, University College London, March 2018*  
*\*\*Center for Science and Thought, University of Bonn, November 2017*  
*\*\*Philosophy Department Colloquium, University of York, October 2017*  
*\*\*Philosophy of Mind Discussion Group, NYU, October 2017*  
*\*Society for the Metaphysics of Science Conference, Fordham University, New York, October 2017*  
*\*European Society for Philosophy and Psychology Conference, University of Hertfordshire, August 2017*  
*\*Postgraduate Session, 91<sup>st</sup> Joint Session of the Aristotelian Society, University of Edinburgh, July 2017*
- "Shape Perception in a Relativistic Universe"  
*\*Objectivity, Space and Mind BPPA Masterclass, University College London, May 2015*  
*\*Berkeley-London Graduate Conference, UC Berkeley, May 2015*
- "Rethinking Decision Theory's Foundation"  
*\*Formal Epistemology Workshop (FEW), University of Southern California, May 2011*

## TEACHING

### Cambridge

#### *Lecturer*

Metaphysics (Third-Year Undergraduate), Lent Term 2018

#### *Supervisor*

Philosophy of Mind (Second-Year Undergraduate), Lent Term 2018

#### *Examiner*

Philosophy of Science (Second-Year Undergraduate), 2018

### UC Berkeley

*Graduate Student Instructor (\* = upper-division course)*

Nature of Mind, John Campbell, Spring 2017

Introduction to Logic, Seth Yalcin, Fall 2016

\*Metaphysics, Geoffrey Lee, Spring 2015

\*Hume, Michael Martin, Fall 2014

\*Philosophy of Mind, John Searle, Fall 2013

\*Metaphysics, Barry Stroud, Spring 2013

\*Theory of Meaning, John Campbell, Spring 2012

Philosophical Methods, Daniel Warren, Fall 2011

Introduction to Logic, Paolo Mancosu, Spring 2011

\*Philosophy and Game Theory, Lara Buchak, Fall 2010

Modern Philosophy, Hannah Ginsborg, Spring 2010

Ancient Philosophy, John MacFarlane, Fall 2009

## PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

Organizer, International Conference and Bi-Weekly Workshop: “Grounding Sensible Qualities,”  
New Directions in the Study of the Mind Project, UC Berkeley, 2016-2017

Book Referee, Oxford University Press

Article Referee, *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, *Ergo*, *Erkenntnis*, *European Journal for Philosophy of Science*,  
*Journal for the History of Analytic Philosophy*, *Noûs*, *Philosophical Psychology*, *Philosophical Quarterly*,  
*Philosophical Studies*

Organizer of Philosophy Colloquium Series, UC Berkeley, 2009-2010

## RESEARCH AND WORK EXPERIENCE

Research Assistant for Lara Buchak, Associate Professor of Philosophy, UC Berkeley, 2011  
*Worked 40 hours per month as Commenter on draft of Risk and Rationality (OUP, 2013)*

D.E. Shaw & Co., 2005-2008

*Investment Associate at New York-based financial firm*

Harvard University, Business, Government, and International Economy Unit, 2004-2005

*Research Associate for David Moss, John G. McLean Professor of Business Administration*

Harvard Medical School, Department of Psychiatry, 2002-2003

*Research Assistant, Laboratory of Neurophysiology (PI: Allan Hobson; Supervisor: Bob Stickgold)*

## PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

Member of the American Philosophical Association

Member of the Aristotelian Society

Member of the European Society for Philosophy and Psychology

## LANGUAGES

French (reading and speaking proficiency)

Latin (basic reading proficiency)

## REFERENCES

John Campbell

Willis S. and Marion Slusser Professor of  
Philosophy

University of California, Berkeley

[jjcampbell@berkeley.edu](mailto:jjcampbell@berkeley.edu)

Michael Friedman

Suppes Professor of Philosophy of  
Science

Stanford University

[mlfriedman@stanford.edu](mailto:mlfriedman@stanford.edu)

Barry Stroud

Willis S. and Marion Slusser Professor

Emeritus of Philosophy

University of California, Berkeley

[barrys@berkeley.edu](mailto:barrys@berkeley.edu)

Michael G. F. Martin

Wilde Professor of Mental Philosophy

University of Oxford

Mills Adjunct Professor of Philosophy

University of California, Berkeley

[mgfmartin@berkeley.edu](mailto:mgfmartin@berkeley.edu)

Geoffrey Lee

Associate Professor of Philosophy

University of California, Berkeley

[geoffrey\\_lee@berkeley.edu](mailto:geoffrey_lee@berkeley.edu)

Naomi Eilan

Professor of Philosophy

Director of the Warwick Mind and

Action Research Centre

University of Warwick

[n.eilan@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:n.eilan@warwick.ac.uk)

Lara Buchak

Associate Professor of Philosophy

University of California, Berkeley

[buchak@berkeley.edu](mailto:buchak@berkeley.edu)

I develop a new account of spatial experience that—unlike most contemporary theories of perception—situates our experience of space within a broader context of non-sensory cognitive activities. On my account, to perceive an object as square is, in part, to deploy the very same Euclidean concept of squareness that we utilize in *a priori* mathematical reasoning. Such geometrical concepts *feature in*, but are not *derived from*, experience. I show that this connection between our *a priori* and empirical representations of spatial features has profound implications for our understanding of perceptual experience, mathematical reasoning, and the interpretation of relativistic physics.

In light of the discovery of consistent non-Euclidean geometries and the empirical evidence that our own universe is not perfectly Euclidean, we can no longer endorse the Kantian idea that Euclidean proof gives us *a priori* knowledge of physical space. But there is an important *cognitive* connection between the theorems we prove in Euclidean geometry and the spatial features we perceive physical objects to instantiate. Having proven the Pythagorean theorem, a carpenter will expect a particular relation to hold among the lengths of the sides of a right triangle she is constructing from wooden beams. The beams are objects of the carpenter’s perceptual awareness – they show up in her experience of the world. But she takes her knowledge of right triangles—knowledge that is a product of *a priori* mathematical reasoning, rather than any experiential contact with the world—to be directly applicable to those empirical objects.

Such applications of our geometrical concepts to empirical objects have led many theorists to conclude that these concepts are not, in fact, *a priori*, and must, instead, be derived from experience. How else, they ask, could geometrical concepts be applicable to the empirical world? Against this, I argue, in Chapter 2, that our use of spatial concepts in Euclidean geometry shows that they *cannot* be derived from experience: certain aspects of these concepts, such as our grasp of geometrical continuity, outstrip anything we can glean from our sensory cognition. On the contemporary understanding of *a priori* mathematical thought, ubiquitous since Hilbert, to say that our geometrical concepts are *a priori* is to accept that they are empty schemata, that the axioms of Euclidean geometry constitute a system of pure logic. The problem with this approach, however, is that it fails to explain why we take our geometrical concepts to apply, specifically, to the *spatial* features we perceive—the shapes of chessboards, the lengths of wooden beams—but not to entities like love, law, and chimney sweeps (entities to which, as Hilbert famously noted, geometry would be equally applicable, were it in fact a system of pure logic). In Chapter 3, I argue that the geometrical concepts that we apply to objects in perception are *sui generis*: though *a priori*, they are *not* merely formal or structural; they are substantive, specifically *spatial* representations.

This account of spatial experience helps shed light on a topic with a long philosophical history: the distinction between primary and secondary qualities. In Chapter 4, I argue that, lacking any *a priori* grasp of a secondary quality like redness, we can represent that property only by way of its role in experience – as *whatever* property typically generates experiences of red. Since perception does not inform us *which* specific property plays that role, we are left in the dark about the *nature* of the secondary qualities. By contrast, in the case of a primary quality like squareness, we are not constrained to represent the property by way of its role in experience. When we experience an object as square, we grasp the nature of the property represented, in virtue of our *a priori* geometrical concepts. Color and shape, then, feature in our cognitive lives in very different ways; these *conceptual* and *experiential* differences, rather than any difference in their *metaphysical* status, are the true basis of the distinction between primary and secondary qualities.

In the final chapter of the dissertation (a version of which is forthcoming in *Mind*), I consider an objection to my account of spatial experience stemming from Einstein’s special theory of relativity (STR). According to the standard interpretation, STR reveals that no purely spatial properties are instantiated in our universe; instead, all that objectively exists is a four-dimensional *spatiotemporal* manifold. Since, on my account, our experience represents purely spatial properties, STR might seem to imply that our experience is never veridical. Against this, I argue that what Einstein’s discoveries in fact show is that objects instantiate Euclidean spatial properties in a particular *manner*: namely, relative to various inertial frames of reference. This analysis allows us to hold onto the intuitive thought that we are *correct* in applying our *a priori* spatial concepts not only to the abstract figures of Euclidean geometry, but also to the physical world we perceive.